

<b>Family Name</b>	Dickinson
<b>Given Name</b>	Gill
<b>Person ID</b>	1286720
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Dickinson
<b>Given Name</b>	Gill
<b>Person ID</b>	1286720
<b>Title</b>	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>My greatest concern is that the plan is unsound as there are no justifiable reasons why good farming land should be taken for housing and a limited employment opportunity.</p> <p>Regardless that the farmer does not want to sell his land concreting over acres of land will reduce biodiversity which is high on both Greater Manchester and Government agendas.</p> <p>In an age where the climate crisis is paramount in most people"s minds and certainly the Government"s, can one honestly justify a further 600 houses with potentially over 1000 vehicles in an area already seen as overcrowded. Vehicles in the foreseeable future which will further pollute the local roads where air quality is already in places more than 50% above the legal EU maximum. (Winwick Lane - the main commute road south.) There are areas along the East Lancs corridor that also have very poor in air quality.</p> <p>It is felt strongly by residents that there has been little opportunity for cooperation with local communities who feel strongly that their views are being ignored. Residents who live in the area which will be affected by the developments and the new road structure are unaware what effect the proposals will have on them, particularly with the threat of HS2 looming large over their heads.</p> <p>I ask that the Pocket Nook development be removed from the Places for Everyone Plan as Golborne and Lowton has already exceeded the numbers agreed by the Government Inspector in 2013.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant</b>	Remove Picket Nook from the plan

**and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**